Ro	w proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D 1	Christchurch Town	"b" is it necessary?	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D2	Christchurch Town	 (a) Strongly agree – Christchurch Town Council remains a vital democratic structure in preserving local identity, civic heritage, and accountability. Abolition would leave a significant gap in representation and contradict the localist principles underpinning the 2007 Act. (b) Agree – The proposed changes to boundaries, particularly around the harbour entrance and Hurn River, are technically sound and provide coterminous with electoral boundaries. The pragmatic correction of these minor anomalies is welcomed. (c & e) Agree – The name of the parish and its council reflects civic history and identity. Any alteration would dilute this well-established recognition both within the community and externally. (d) Agree – The council's continued existence is supported in principle, though its governance has been undermined by persistent behavioral issues among some Councillors. These internal matters do not invalidate the structure itself but underscore the need for a parallel investment in Councillor training and stricter adherence to the Nolan Principles. (f) Agree – The ward structure remains logical and electorally proportionate, with the revised ward boundaries addressing representational imbalances without disrupting community cohesion. (g & h) Agree – The number of Councillors in some areas are not proportionate in other when compared to the electorate (granted that all variances well within acceptable thresholds). The even distribution of representation should, however, be paired with strengthened governance standards and more robust enforcement of codes of conduct. 	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D3	Christchurch Town	(B) will change because of (F) internal ward change - Friars Ward should be included in Highcliffe & Walkford Parish Council as our characteristics are aligned with Highcliffe by way of being on the sea front, our gardens back onto the Golf Course and being built in Highcliffe Castle grounds. We also back onto the Nature Reserve. Located only one mile from Highcliffe Town Centre as opposed to 3 miles from Christchurch Town Centre. Schools, Doctors & Dentist surgery located in Highcliffe not Christchurch. Transport links such as Hinton Admiral station is our nearest rail link not Christchurch. Having lived here for 40 years I always thought I was living in Highcliffe but postal address of Christchurch and pay my council tax to CTC.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D4	Christchurch Town	(b), (c), (e) to (h) - this council is unwieldy, expensive, and would be more effectively run as a Central Christchurch Parish Council with the part north of the railway boxed off to form a separate West Christchurch Parish Council. This was the proposal put forward as submission 41 in the initial consultation and I am absolutely amazed that it doesn't appear to have been considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Board's cross-party working group. The two areas north and south of the railway line have completely different topographies with the exception of the shops and housing along Barrack Road. Consequently there is little sense of community cohesion evinced at town council meetings (which are notoriously fractious). The division of the two areas would have the additional advantage (one hopes) of reducing the Christchurch Town Council precept, something that was seized upon by opponents of CGR in this, the second phase of the consultation. I see nothing in law that would prevent the belated consideration of these proposals (i.e. a split between West Christchurch and Central Christchurch); indeed, such consideration would be entirely compatible with the law, i.e. Section 93(6) of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2
D5	Christchurch Town	(e) It is unfortunate that the inclusion of the word 'Town' is necessitated by virtue of the definition between town council and ward council. This conveys a council solely working for the 'town', whereas 'Christchurch Parishes Council' might convey a stronger message of a council working for the parishes/wards. Perhaps a communication issue for CTC.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D6	Christchurch Town	[unknown] in Fairmile [unknown] ever [unknown] Railway Bridge - I am very pleased to be melinded in the [unknown] ward of Christchurch Town Council. It makes sense and align us and BCP council and councillors. I found the present situation very [unknown]	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D7	Christchurch Town	a - h I agree with all recommendations.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D8	Christchurch Town	A thank no that the parish town council should be abolished. It seems to cost residents a lot of money for little or no return	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 3
D9	Christchurch Town	a) I believe the parish is important for Christchurch, hence strongly agree b) The change of boundary seems logical, hence somewhat agree - I don't have especially strong feelings however c) I see no need to change the name, hence somewhat agree - no especially strong feelings d) I see no need to change, hence somewhat agree - no strong feelings e) As above f) Again, see no need to change, hence somewhat agree/no strong feelings g and h) Again, see no need to change	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D10	Christchurch Town	a) No need to change b) Friars Cliff should not be included in Christchurch Town parish c) No need for change d) No need for change e) No need for change f) Friars Cliff should not be included in Christchurch Town parish. It is a much more similar area to Highcliffe and Walkford than Christchurch Town e.g. (i) beach and coastal areas in common; Steamer Point Nature Reserve in common (ii) nearest shops and medical facilities are in Highcliffe (iii) similar concerns about sea water quality; preservation of the beach; protection of the cliffs along the coast (iv) more like a village than large town (v) we would have a fairer share of voice as part of Highcliffe and Walkford g) Number of councillors to reduce by 2 to 17 to exclude Friars Cliff h) Friars Cliff should not be part of Christchurch Town	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D11	Christchurch Town	a. I believe Christchurch, as the oldest town in the BCP area, should continue to be represented by its Town Council. c, d, e. The council's name should be unchanged. f, h. Five parishes should continue with the existing number of councillors.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D12	Christchurch Town	ACDEFGH I SUPPORT THE IDEA OF THE CHRISTCHURCH TOWN COUNCIL AND SUPPORT THE WORK OF THE COUNCIL	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D13	Christchurch Town	a-h) all seem [unknown] sasible and the status quo warks well	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D14	Christchurch Town	All sections: CTC should continue with the draft recommendations BUT with the proviso that Friars Cliff as a ward is moved to Highcliffe and Walkford Parish Council as per my previous comments. This would need a reduction in councillors in CTC	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D15	Christchurch Town	All works well and delivers to the community	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D16	Christchurch Town	Answers to ABC is we want to keep our own council and it must not be abolished. Christchurch voted in the referendum to stay independent but we were overruled by the government. So leave Christchurch alone and don't [unknown] it down [unknown] you are doing in Bournemouth and Poole	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D17	Christchurch Town	As usual the survey questions are presented in a misleading way. I support the measures over less independence for Christchurch, but the survey did not include an option to get rid of BCP Council and just leave Christchurch. You will recall that 83% of Christchurch residents voted against the merger.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D18	Christchurch Town	'b' : The whole of the Christchurch Town ward Friar's Cliff should be moved into the Parish of Highcliffe & Walkford in order to enable more efficient management of areas with common issues, particularly coastal issues and locality.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D19	Christchurch Town	B and D. The area of Friars Cliff from BURE LANE and south to the beach should transfer from CTC to HWPC. The reasons for this is that Highcliffe and Friars Cliff are both beach-side communities with very similar properties and cultures. From this, the existing Friars Cliff ward should be redefined and then renamed, as it is no longer part of the core, traditionally termed Friars Cliff, which historically I can prove back to 1927 comprises the land area from Bure Lane to the beach as described above. Friars Cliff is NOT, and never has been, the area inland north of Bure Lane shown on the Ward map. Thank you.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D20	Christchurch Town	b, f, g and h - don't understand enough to make an informed comment.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D21	Christchurch Town	b: Friars Cliff is an outlier to the rest of the Town Council area. We shgould be linked to Highcliffe and Walkford.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D22	Christchurch Town	Christchurch and all areas need to have control of the functions, services and facilities, as well as the plans for the local residents. The residents pay, and the residents and their elected representatives should determine local decisions.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D23	Christchurch Town	d & e. I think since Christchurch was amalgamated under the BCP umbrella, we have a very small voice in what happens, at least having a town council does give us a voice.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D24	Christchurch Town	'f' Why was Mudeford Wood Community Centre not brought back into Christchurch, by the Town Council when it drafted its recommended changes to the current boundary. Although the plans are not easy to read, it looks like the playing fields are in Friars Cliff but the Community Centre is in West Highcliffe. It seems odd not to take the opportunity to correct this issue, when this has no impact on electoral numbers for the wards in Christchurch or Highcliffe and CTC has until recently been using it to hold Council meetings.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D25	Christchurch Town	Friars Cliff gets no effective representation in CTC. With only two councillors, and a very different make up to the other town centre areas, our interests would be better served by joining Highcliffe	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D26	Christchurch Town	Friars Cliff in my view is better represented by being a part of Highcliffe Walkford Parish , and should be moved to be a part of that	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D27	Christchurch Town	I agree with all recommendations because they are common sense! There is nothing wrong with the current arrangement apart from the boundaries on Jumpers/Priory which confuses councillors, let alone residents.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D28	Christchurch Town	I do not want to see any boundary charges, it is unecessary and does not not matter which side of the river it is on.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D29	Christchurch Town	I don't feel my area of Friar's Cliff should be included in the Christchurch ward - we identify with Highcliffe which is less than 1 mile away. We work, shop and have our doctor, dentist and church in that direction rather than Christchurch which is 3 miles away and is difficult to park in. We need to be included in the Highcliffe and Walkford ward as this is the most natural alignment. We face the same issues as we are both beachside communities and would have a greater and more appropriate say in local decisions - Christchurch has its own issues.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D30	Christchurch Town	I found the survey problematic with the mix of positive and negative statements. I think the Town Councils are unnecessary and the resources used could be put to better use. I would prefer a reduction in Council Tax.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D31	Christchurch Town	I have no idea about how effective the local councillors are at influencing decisions in the town for positive impact	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D32	Christchurch Town	I think keeping the arrangements at present a good idea, we have recently become a very large council BCP. At present we are dealing with matters relating to a very large council; Christchurch is a small market town.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D33	Christchurch Town	It is important to have local representation on local issues.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D34	Christchurch Town	It's important that there is a sense of community identity in order to support one another and create a culture of kindness and cooperation.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D35	Christchurch Town	The boundary changes make sense, and the town council works well for the town	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D36	Christchurch Town	The proposals for a to f are good and I agree to them	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D37	Christchurch Town	The proposed allocation of representation and boundary/internal changes make a lot of sense.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D38	Christchurch Town	The ratio of councillors to population is out of kilter.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D39	Christchurch Town	things seem to work well at the moment so no need to change need to continue to have truly local representation as BCP council covers such a large area and smaller local priorities get lost councillors should not sit on both BCP and Christchurch councils but should be required to work together along with other parish councils	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D40	Christchurch Town	(a) Christchurch town Council should be abolished. It is only costing us money and is yet another layer of authority. It should never have been agreed. The Maoyral system could've been kept in the same way as Poole and Bournemouth with the trustee system.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D41	Christchurch Town	a - h Parish Councils are archaic in this day and age. In the end they appear to cost more money than is necessary. Also with the plans of the current government to challenge all planning applications they are an unecessary and delaying form of administration. Anything that speeds up local authority decisions is to be welcomed.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D42	Christchurch Town	a) d) An unnecessary additional layer of local government and costs, resulting from continued under-funding of local services by central government b) Makes practical sense g) h) Too many councillors (even so they often struggle to be quorate) - over-staffed	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 3
D43	Christchurch Town	a) Should absolutely be abolished. This Town Council was created in a very underhand way without proper consultation. The precept residents pay is not optional while there is little evidence of effective spending except for ugly unsustainable annual flowers planted. Why the 2 tier system with neither knowing who does what?? How can councillors be on both councils? h) why so many councillors for so few residents? I never hear or see them do anything.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D44	Christchurch Town	a. This council serves no useful purpose, is expensive, and undertakes works that are already largely done by BCP.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D45	Christchurch Town	 a. I do not agree that the parish of Christchurch Town should not be abolished. I believe that a Town Council or parish arrangement adds a layer of bureaucracy to local government and expense for local tax payers. The premise for Local Government Review in 2019 was to enable a new BCP Council to coordinate services and make economies of scale in a small (albeit densely populated) geographic area. Local representation and access to democracy can be achieved through BCP Council Elected Members liaising closely with community groups and local agencies. Town and Parish Councils are not essential. 	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2
D46	Christchurch Town	Another expense, to many councillors that will claim expenses, yet another change,	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 3
D47	Christchurch Town	B) Remote Christchurch should not include Friars Cliff. Friars Cliff is much close and has more affinity with Highcliffe and walkford. F) Christchurch should be reduced to four parish wards, losing Friars Cliff G) the councillors should be reduced by two to reflect Friars Cliff being moved to Highcliffe and Walkford	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D48	Christchurch Town	Christchurch town council is an expensive folly, foisted on us by self serving councillors	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D49	Christchurch Town	Friars cliff should be included in Highcliffe and not Christchurch	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D50	Christchurch Town	Friars Cliff should move to Highcliffe and Walkford, reducing number of CTC councillors.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D51	Christchurch Town	G) that is not cost effective for the residents. Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D52	Christchurch Town	I consider that the parish/town level of governance is a costly and an unaffordable luxury. The parish councils in Christchurch were only ever established as a political sop for superannuated councillors who were losing their seats in the new BCP arrangement. There is no sign that the parish council has made a value added difference to the governance of Christchurch and it has earned a reputation for opposing most change in the town, however necessary. Rather than throwing good money after bad, it would be better to abolish this tier of government and provide the money saved to BCP Council.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D53	Christchurch Town	Point A. All of the smaller councils should be abolished to save costs and to keep the whole area under the BCP council. What is the point of all of the extra cost and administration in having a series of smaller councils. Christchurch was quite happy having its own Borough Council, but it was forced to join BCP. So now having suffered all of the pain of this merger why does the council want to now set up a series of smaller parishes? Let's put all of the effort into getting BCP to do what we want	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 3
D54	Christchurch Town	The town council and councillors are an expense that is not needed	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D55	Christchurch Town	This seems to me to be an exercise that is a waste of money & change just for the sake of it. This money could be spent on many things rhat need improvement. This is a jobswoeth excersize.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D56	Christchurch Town	Waste of money don't do anything meaningful. Just ust make BCP more efficient	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D57	Christchurch Town	Don't know how much value is added to the community by Parish councils	Don't know/not sure	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D58	Christchurch Town	f. I believe that the Friars Cliff ward should be merged with Highcliffe and Walkford because our interests as a coastal community are more closely aligned with our Highcliffe neighbours than Christchurch town. For instance, our beach is in desperate need of refurbishment, and since the formation of BCP, we are the poor relation in this respect. Such money that is available to Christchurch appears to have been spent on Christchurch town centre projects, such as bus stops.	Neither agree nor disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D59	Christchurch Town	Mudford is under represented with Councillors, it is the area that gets the majority of visitors and this should be factored in. I am not convinced that the parish/Town council has any useful function to change anything so would be unconvinced about it continuing.	Neither agree nor disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D60	Christchurch Town	b		Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D61	Christchurch Town	5 parish council's be merged into 1 and councillors reduced by half at least	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
D62	Christchurch Town	а	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
D63	Christchurch Town	a) Christchurch town tourist and historical interest area so keep name	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
D64	Christchurch Town	a to h. Having read all these recommendations so far I am wondering why we have so many tiers of Council. I thought there was one Council and that is BCP. I have to ask why we need so many tiers.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D65	Christchurch Town	a) the bureaucracy changes make sense to equalize the areas	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
D66	Christchurch Town	a, I am satisfied the current parish/town council fairly represents the electorate. The current policies of the council are benefitting the local community, far better than BCP.	Agree	Highcliffe & Walkford	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D67	Christchurch Town	a,b,c Christchurch Town council should continue as it is if the residents want this, but there should not be new councils set up at extra cost, where there would be no benefit & possible increase in council tax	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
D68	Christchurch Town	a,c, e, I fully believe that Christchurch must not lose it's identity. It has suffered by being brought into the BCP fold. Christchurch is a separate town going back many hundreds of years and it needs to continue that way.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D69	Christchurch Town	All of the draft proposals are pragmatic and rational.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
D70	Christchurch Town	 b) It makes sense to simplify boundaries particularly where there are natural boundaries such as rivers. c) & e) The public is already familiar with the name Christchurch and I am sure would not support any proposed alterations or additions. g) & h) Numbers of councillors allocated are broadly proportional so meet the requirements of democratic representation. 	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
D71	Christchurch Town	B)I see no need to change the current boundary.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living outside proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
D72	Christchurch Town	Christchurch has been a long established as an independent town. Although incorporating Chrischurch into BCP was the correct choice, Christchurch town centre area including the quay has a character of its own and a certain degree of local control would be appropriate.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D73	Christchurch Town	Christchurch has shown itself to be independent and self governing; 19 councillors should if possible be as follows - minimum of 2 / not more than 4. The more councillors elected, the more expense id incurred by council tax payers.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
D74	Christchurch Town	Do not need extra areas added or extra councillors.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
D75	Christchurch Town	Good to return to 3 councils as before merger	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
D76	Christchurch Town	I think that the proposed information given is acceptable and appropriate.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D77	Christchurch Town	I think the size of the wards should be evened up and the number of overall Councillors reduced	Agree	Hurn	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 6
D78	Christchurch Town	None	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
D79	Christchurch Town	See comments on Burton. What is the justification for Christchurch Town's precept? 3.5 X higher than Burton and Winkton I believe you would get better value for money if Burton and Winkton was combined with Grange ward to be coterminous with the BCP ward	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living outside proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
D80	Christchurch Town	Thanks here to be near to some parts parties to define the goals choice near proch time for the best profiler at this time	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D81	Christchurch Town	This historic arrangement serves the residents of Christchurch well and should continue unchanged. By losing the local councils christchurch would be swallowed completely by the needs of the larger conurbation and residents views completely disregarded	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
D82	Christchurch Town	we have enough council governance as a tri borough council (BCP) and do not need another level of governance.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
D83	Christchurch Town	Why change for change's sake??	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
D84	Christchurch Town	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D85	Christchurch Town	a) and d) I don't believe we need an extra layer of admin and bureaucracy. I don't see a benefit to residents in establishing parish councils but I do see that there will be additional costs to residents, and BCP councillors will be less accountable for maintaining the separate local areas, and will pass the buck to parish councils for issues it finds too expensive or too difficult to deal with. What was the point in amalgamating the town councils into BCP if only to create a new sub-layer of councillors? I thought the larger council was meant to benefit from economies of scale, better purchasing power and reduced admin and bureaucracy. The creation of parish councils undermines the arguments for the creation of BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
D86	Christchurch Town	 a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayer not create additional layers of local authority. g) Proposals for Christchurch create too large a body creating too much additional cost and bureaucracy and avoidable duplication 	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
D87	Christchurch Town	a) I think it unnecessary having the parish but it is not really for me to say if the residents want a parish then is up to then.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D88	Christchurch Town	a) The parish of Christchurch Town should not be abolished Disagree. While local representation is important, retaining Christchurch Town Council as a distinct entity while adjacent areas are governed by separate parish councils creates a two-tier system. This hybrid approach undermines fairness and consistency in governance across the wider Christchurch area and contributes to a duplication of administrative functions. b) The boundary of the existing parish of Christchurch Town be altered as shown on the plans above Disagree. These minor boundary adjustments may tidy up technical anomalies, but they fail to address the larger structural problem: a fragmented governance model in which Christchurch Town Council has disproportionate representation compared to neighbouring parish councils. Boundary changes should be part of a broader governance simplification rather than reinforce existing imbalance. c) The name of the parish of Christchurch Town should not be altered Neutral. While the name is established, any future governance reform may necessitate a name change to reflect a more unified or appropriately restructured model. d) The parish should continue to have a parish council in the style of a town council Disagree. The 'Town Council' style reinforces an imbalance in representation and influence when compared to neighbouring areas governed as standard parish councils. Either all communities in the Christchurch area should be under a single, unified town council—ensuring equal representation and influence—or each area should operate its own individual parish council. The current arrangement favours Christchurch Town residents and risks undermining local cohesion. e) The name of the town council should not be altered Disagree. The current name reinforces a governance distinction not enjoyed by other local parishes. A change in structure—such as forming a unified Christchurch- wide town council—would require a revised name to reflect the whole community and address perceived disparities in representation.	Disagree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living outside proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		- Mudeford & Stanpit – two councillors - Priory – six councillors Disagree. While the ratios are within guidance limits, the overall number and ward-specific allocations further reinforce the complex and unequal governance structure. A move towards either consolidated town-wide representation or a simplified parish model would ensure fairer and more cost-effective local democracy.	abolished		area		
D89	Christchurch Town	a)to h) (all) We do not need parish councils as well as BCP. That is unnecessary, costly and would lead to certain matters not being considered by either.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D90	Christchurch Town	a, d I disagree. Town and Parish councils should be removed as they add an unnecessary layer of councils for no added benefit. Equivalent roles and clear departmental structures already exist under BCP so these should be given the full remit to improve their own services, with existing precept payments being absorbed into these departmental budgets. Ward councillors already provide the representation to ensure community views and cohesion are considered in council decisions.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
D91	Christchurch Town	'a', 'd' More layers, more bureaucracy, more delays to implementing any initiative. Why create BCP to remove duplication just to devolve responsibility?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
D92	Christchurch Town	a,b,c,d,e The creation of the parish has not been effective. The creation of parish councils is adding another layer of bureaucracy to the unitary authority of BCP which the bringing together of the 3 councils said it would avoid. We have local councillors that are elected to represent the views of the area they are elected too, and therefore this additional layer will add authority to those who are elected to represent our areas to the large unitary authority. So this should be abolished	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
D93	Christchurch Town	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h We have a Town Council which has council representatives for each area. We do not need more levels of administration but for existing Councillors to do their job and represent their ward to the Council with diligence and hard work on behalf of their electors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D94	Christchurch Town	a/b/c The Council should be abolished	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
D95	Christchurch Town	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
D96	Christchurch Town	Abolish Christchurch Council	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
D97	Christchurch Town	abolish the council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D98	Christchurch Town	abolish the council in its entirity	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
D99	Christchurch Town	All - it is wrong for there to be any additional councils / councillors in any region. The current councillors of BCP have confidently put themselves forward to be voted in to run the local area based on the situation as it currently is / was, so now is the time to step up and put their money where your mouth is and solve the problems without causing more for the local residents. It would be highly inappropriate for this council to move the goalposts half way through their (massively underwhelming) term and create new entities. It's clear that given the appalling behaviour of the controlling alliance, that the move would purely be a vehicle to hack off the services that cannot currently be 'afforded' and all of these white elephants would be passed on to the new 'councils' at which point BCP would claim that they are in a great place whilst the new councils would be failing. I also have no doubt that the councillors and in particular the leader of BCP would constantly throw mud at the new entities and blame them for the inevitable shortcomings. The divisive nature of the Liberal Democrats in particular as well as the rest of the Three Towns Alliance means that nothing positive is ever achieved as they are too busy shirking accountability and blaming everybody else for their many failings. Any new council would also have the partisan issues that plague BCP Council and it isn't right at all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
D100	Christchurch Town	All parish councils need to be disbanded immediately	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D101	Christchurch Town	Christchurch Town Council is an ineffective local authority that has achieved very little material difference for the people of Christchurch The Council has a demonstrably broken member culture which refuses to engage in the principles of town and parish councils.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
D102	Christchurch Town	D - there is already a BCP council, there should be no need for parish or town councils if BCP council are doing their job properly	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
D103	Christchurch Town	Do not need parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
D104	Christchurch Town	Have not read anything to suggest benefit of parish councils of any kind in current financial environment.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D105	Christchurch Town	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
D106	Christchurch Town	I disagree with the continuation of current parish and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
D107	Christchurch Town	I disagree with the need for parish and town councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
D108	Christchurch Town	I disagree, because the council is BCP council. Other subsidiary councils are superfluous. and fulfil no useful function.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D109	Christchurch Town	I do not agree that any area requires a parish council. I believe that all functions should be carried out by BCP council. Anything more is an unnecessary complication and a waste of money. How are people supposed to know who does what? How can you ensure that the various parish councils act with any consistency?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
D110	Christchurch Town	I do not agree with Parish councils. Waste of money should be abolished	Disagree	Highcliffe & Walkford	respondent living outside proposal area	Highcliffe & Walkford	BH23 5
D111	Christchurch Town	It seems that the creation of the BCP council has resulted in equalities for councillors and residents. Ideally, to reduce overheads, I would supprt one council for the main BCP conurbation and only outlying areas having a parish council. Generally, if local government overheads could be reduced, more funds could be directed towards improving the declining public services in the BCP area.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
D112	Christchurch Town	Our area doesn't need another level of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D113	Christchurch Town	Parish councils are an unnecessary administrative tier. They result in additional costs - without value for money - for council tax payers.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
D114	Christchurch Town	Parish Councils are an unnecessary extra level of burocracy costing additional precepts to local people when the parish council does not have skilledexpertise to carry out duties that should be carried out by competent and trained staff. The environment is a good example. E.g. A parish council could never afford to have skilled expertise in environmental matters - something which is a core responsibility of the Unitary Authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
D115	Christchurch Town	Parish councils are an unnecessary level of governance as the services they will provide are already covered by BCP. If we need Parish councils to have our community listened to, then maybe BCP should be disbanded instead and go back to individual town councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
D116	Christchurch Town	Parish councils are superfluous	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D117	Christchurch Town	Parish Councils generally attract Narcissists and mini Hitlers, who love the power and control, this position gives them in the community. Control over decisions and people that live in these areas. Procedure and following the system is everything, the end result is irrelevant. They have fragile egos and hate criticism. They are in control and you little people must go through me first, in fact, this whole community is under my influence and control.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
D118	Christchurch Town	Parish councils represent needless and unnecessary bureaucracy and are a waste of money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
D119	Christchurch Town	Please see comments on the wider (Bournemouth/Poole) issues concerning the need for consistency, co-ordination and planning across the entire conurbation plus the benefits of minimising liaison and consultation impacts.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
D120	Christchurch Town	Re a and d - the parish council should be abolished. It is a waste of tax payers money and adds unnecessary bureaucracy. Public funds are right enough and we should be reducing this bureaucracy not maintaining it. I do not think these areas get good value for the extra money they pay.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D121	Christchurch Town	Regarding paragraph H) there should be a maximum of six parish cllrs.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
D122	Christchurch Town	Should be an Independent Council not part of a joint council with Bournemouth and Poole	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
D123	Christchurch Town	The bureaucracy and cost of additional layers of local government should be avoided wherever possible.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
D124	Christchurch Town	The creation of parish councils will be damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation and corruption, preventing the change the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D125	Christchurch Town	Too many councillors and the main council is sufficient.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
D126	Christchurch Town	Uncontested elections are deeply undemocratic. It might be more democratic for BCP Council to assume this parish council's functions and for the BCP Council councillors for the wards to represent their residents through BCP Council and its processes.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
D127	Christchurch Town	Unnecessary layer of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
D128	Christchurch Town	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D129	Christchurch Town	As one of your respondents says, this needs to be looked at afresh. The ratio of councillors to residents needs to vary depending on the needs of the residents. Here in NIMBY land we are only interested in trees, litter and asbos. But if you are homeless, criminal, a refugee, with special needs, needing social care you need more councillors to look after your interests. And have no voice. I don't believe this exercise addresses change and at this time we can't afford the indulgence of tinkering with a system which is nearly broken	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9
D130	Christchurch Town	b, the boundary should be expanded to include the existing Hurn parish and the 600 residents within. Hen should be abolished and the money saved out to better use	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
D131	Christchurch Town	It is not for Electoral Services to comment on these questions	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
D132	Christchurch Town	Not enough information to have an opinion.	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q23 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Christchurch Town

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D133	Christchurch Town	The problem with the Draft recommendations are their incredible inconsistency. Why should any comparably sized area be treated differently? Such a situation is inherently unfair and undemocratic. An argument might be made for treating 'rural' areas slightly differently if any can be reasonably defined within BCP but this seems unlikely.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
D134	Christchurch Town	There is insufficient information to be able to make an informed decision on any of these draft recommendations. There is no indication of what services will be provided via the new parish/town councils. There is no indication of the total costs involved in setting up these parishes/councils, nor of the level of precept, nor of the possibility of precepts being increased well above the capped level of council tax. There is no indication of the need for a clerk to the parish/town council, nor of the salary that this would incur. Whilst the expectation is that parish/town councillors will be appointed on a voluntary basis, there is no means of preventing them from voting to make salary payments out of the precept. Divisions into parish/town councils across the conurbation is likely to increase differences in levels of provision.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3

Q24 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Christchurch Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D135	Christchurch Town	(a) Abolition of Christchurch Town Council: The CTC website states it is the 'democratic organisation for local people'. Sadly, this aspiration has not been consistently matched by its performance and transparency of decision making. Given the upheaval in recent years in the formation of BCP, one has to question why a Corporate Governance Review was deemed essential at this juncture before relevant local bodies have had time to settle.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D136	Christchurch Town	All sounds quite reasonable.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D137	Christchurch Town	Christchurch town council is a lot more expensive than others. Where does the money go and am I getting value?	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D138	Christchurch Town	Christchurch Town should acquire responsibility for matters that affect it and separate from Poole and Bournemouth. One example is Christchurch Harbour water quality, testing, notifying the residents of results and liaising with statutory water authorities.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D139	Christchurch Town	Don't want change for the sake of changing as regards of cost and additional administration time and cots	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D140	Christchurch Town	I am concerned as a resident in Friars Ward of Christchurch Town Council will not benefit at all from this CGR whilst Bournemouth and Poole will spend a lot of money creating their wards and councillors and funding them. We have already felt the governance under Bournemouth & Poole, we have lost our assets, become very congested and extremely concerned at loss of services, incorrect funding for infrastructure and lack of investment. No capital investment in the area by BCP. We are residents not holiday makers.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D141	Christchurch Town	I feel that the proposed alterations are in the interests of the residents of Christchurch and that it makes sense to realign the boundaries so that there are no areas that jut out.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D142	Christchurch Town	I would like to have more information about the parish of Christchurch Town - who our six councillors were and when may meet etc and how accessible they are?!	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2
D143	Christchurch Town	If we were a democratic country we would still be independent	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2
D144	Christchurch Town	If you are going to add areas to the west of Christchurch, then please lose the Friar's Cliff area to balance this to the east. Lower precept - more appropriate to us. (Band G: CTC £120.58/HCWPC £54.77)	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D145	Christchurch Town	No	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D146	Christchurch Town	NO CHANGES I AM FULLY SUPPORTIVE OF THE CHRISTCHURCH COUNCIL	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4

Q24 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Christchurch Town?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D147	Christchurch Town	No, am happy with things as they are	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D148	Christchurch Town	No.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D149	Christchurch Town	That more functions, and associated budget, should be delegated to the local elected town council.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D150	Christchurch Town	The draft recommendations are broadly appropriate and well-considered. However, structural soundness must be matched by effective governance in practice. Christchurch Town Council has, regrettably, seen instances where the actions of individual councillors have undermined the functioning of the council and public confidence in it. The council has been subject to formal complaints, so given the recent governance challenges, it would be advisable for Christchurch Town Council to ensure it appoints a suitably qualified and experienced Clerk to support councillors and restore public confidence in its operations. For these recommendations to have lasting value, BCP Council should consider: Strengthening training requirements for all Councillors post-election. Introducing a localised protocol on standards of behavior, attendance, and respect for staff. Supporting a governance improvement plan for Christchurch Town Council to ensure effective leadership. Without these safeguards, the structural reforms proposed will not achieve their full potential in restoring trust and improving local democracy.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
D151	Christchurch Town	Yes, remove BCP council and establish a single tier independent council of christchurch.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D152	Christchurch Town	Abolish it.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D153	Christchurch Town	Apart from abolishing all of the small councils, no other comment.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 3
D154	Christchurch Town	Christchurch town Council should be abolished. There is no need for it. It is another layer within local democracy. The councillors can represent the town on the main BCP council. Christchurch Town Council are not performing well for the electorate, especially for those who are disabled.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 3
D155	Christchurch Town	Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D156	Christchurch Town	Friarscliff should be part of H&W as stated earlier	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D157	Christchurch Town	Highcliffe and Friars Cliff share the same coastline, are 1 mile apart and have a similar community and identity. Christchurch is further away and is the town, and is totally different to Friars Cliff and Highcliffe.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D158	Christchurch Town	I doubt very much that BCP Council will take any notice of the views of residents.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D159	Christchurch Town	No	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D160	Christchurch Town	Please stop this waste of money and use the budget more wisely.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
D161	Christchurch Town	The existing Christchurch Town Council has its heart in the right place but is not an effective way to meet the huge financial challenges facing Local Government or to deliver meaningful services.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2
D162	Christchurch Town	More cycle lanes or mixed use pavements	Neither agree nor disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D163	Christchurch Town	No	Neither agree nor disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living in proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D164	Christchurch Town	а		Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D165	Christchurch Town	I note that elections were contested in 2019 but then not in 2023 in all but one ward. this seems to have been the same in other councils: Hurn, Highcliffe and Walkford, Burton and Winkton. I feel strongly that the premise of setting up councils made up from self-proposing volunteers is deeply flawed. Where is the governance and vetting of these probably well meaning but possibly not capable individuals? Alarm bells ring when the initial enthusiasm for putting themselves up to contest an election has disappeared and the council continues with no possible change of personnel? People who put themselves forward may have particular pet interests and may make short term decisions with unforeseen consequences down the line. The whole premise seems to be a way to off load some responsibilities from the council but the approach of getting some members of the community, whose only qualification may be the location of their house, to take these responsibilities on seems very risky.		Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
D166	Christchurch Town	An active police presence to deter aggressive begging , drug dealing and anti social behaviour. A reduction in council red tape and bureaucracy to ensure that funds are spent appropriately to the benefit of Christchurch residents and visitors.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
D167	Christchurch Town	d, Where practicable the Town Council provides a stronger voice for the parish residents than the representation on the BCP council.	Agree	Highcliffe & Walkford	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
D168	Christchurch Town	I fully endorse all of the draft proposals.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
D169	Christchurch Town	No	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D170	Christchurch Town	No	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living outside proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
D171	Christchurch Town	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
D172	Christchurch Town	No	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
D173	Christchurch Town	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
D174	Christchurch Town	Nothing to add	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
D175	Christchurch Town	Nothings thanks	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D176	Christchurch Town	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
D177	Christchurch Town	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
D178	Christchurch Town	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
D179	Christchurch Town	Cost savings for a much reduced parish council should be published	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
D180	Christchurch Town	Disagree with the concept of an additional layer of local authority administration below Unitary level for the reasons given in the previous question	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D181	Christchurch Town	Do not need parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
D182	Christchurch Town	Don't do it !	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
D183	Christchurch Town	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
D184	Christchurch Town	I disagree with the continuation of current parish and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
D185	Christchurch Town	It is an unnecessary expense	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D186	Christchurch Town	Leave things as they are as despite the hollow words from BCP council, this is clearly going to end up costing residents money that simply cannot be afforded in a cost of living crisis. If the current incumbents are unable to work within the constraints as they currently exist, then they should resign en masse and make way for competent people who can. This is nothing but a transparent vehicle to screw the local residents. You say that there will not be any additional cost, but that is a blatant lie. You will bring in a precept to begin with and that will go up and up and up year on year, totally unchecked and the people of the BCP region will be the ones to pay for it. It's unacceptable that you would do something like this without a referendum (which you clearly won't as you already know what the outcome would be and you don't like listening to people who disagree with you). BCP Council is a repugnant organisation, badly run by incompetent councillors with no honesty, integrity or decency. You are an embarrassment to the local people and you should be totally and utterly ashamed of yourselves (but I doubt you have the decency to feel that way either). Shame on you all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
D187	Christchurch Town	Less bureaucracy is required from BCP with more action from those already elected, not more!	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
D188	Christchurch Town	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
D189	Christchurch Town	One Council (BCP) is quite enougfh.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
D190	Christchurch Town	Parish councils are totally unnecessary as we already have an amalgamated council with BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D191	Christchurch Town	People are trying to circumvent the elected authority to suit their own politics	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
D192	Christchurch Town	Scrap it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
D193	Christchurch Town	The boundaries of "Poole" council are clear – however the town will be disadvantaged and overwhelmed, if the whole of the town is 1x parish – when the town of Bournemouth is "represented" as 4x separate parishes. Resources for "Poole" (as well as Christchurch) will instead be routed (diverted/stolen) for projects elsewhere. The number of parishes need to be equivalent across the "Three Towns", based on population, rate-payers, and geography –the cultural and historic value across the towns should be valued for this as well. The Parish boundaries need to be reviewed and corrected, for equal representation for everyone.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
D194	Christchurch Town	The creation of parish councils will be damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation and corruption, preventing the change the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D195	Christchurch Town	The current hybrid governance model across the Christchurch area—where some communities are served by a town council and others by parish councils—creates an unnecessary layer of complexity, duplication, and cost. This fragmented approach does not deliver value for money and undermines the principles of fairness and consistent representation. To increase efficiency and reduce overheads, I would strongly recommend a review of the governance structure with a view to either: 1. Creating a Single Christchurch-Wide Town Council: Unifying all local parish areas under one town council would streamline decision-making, reduce administrative costs, and ensure all residents who use the facilities and services of Christchurch Town have a fair and equal voice in how they are managed. This would also eliminate the inefficiencies associated with multiple clerks, meetings, and duplicated governance structures. 2. Restructuring Christchurch Town Council into Smaller Parish Councils: Alternatively, dissolving the town council and forming smaller parish councils across the area—on equal footing with Burton & Winkton, Hurn, and Highcliffe & Walkford—would remove the imbalance in representation and potentially reduce the overall number of councillors and associated administrative costs. In addition, the number of councillors on Christchurch Town Council should be reviewed and reduced. A 19-member council is excessive, especially when compared to the governance structures of similarly sized or larger areas. A leaner, more agile council structure would be more cost-effective and still adequately represent the local electorate. Finally, electoral arrangements could be simplified by removing excessive warding within the town council, opting instead for councillors elected at-large or through fewer, consolidated wards. This would reduce election costs, promote unity across the area, and ensure all councillors work in the interests of the whole community, not just narrowly defined localities. These changes would lead to a more e	Disagree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living outside proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
D196	Christchurch Town	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
D197	Christchurch Town	We do not need 2 layers of admin and bureaucracy.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
D198	Christchurch Town	 Amending the boundary between polling districts CM1 and CM3/Hurn Parish Council and Christchurch Town Council does not cause any concerns and is supported. There are currently no properties, and the areas are within the same BCP Council ward. Extending the boundary at the harbour to be coterminous with the BCP Council ward boundary does not cause any concerns and is supported as it resolves an anomaly. In due course the Parliamentary boundary running through the harbour would then be aligned at the next review. Amending the parish ward boundaries in polling districts CM7 and CM8 is supported as it would make administering local and parish elections more straightforward – ensuring parish wards contain electors from the same BCP Council ward. 	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
D199	Christchurch Town	A further level of inconsistency exists in the recommendations by the inclusion of Town Parishes. In many cases smaller parishes are recommended within the historic towns of BCP. The recommended Town Parishes seem to be a mopping up of areas which are not recommended for smaller parishes, with absolutely no logical basis. While most particularly evident in Bournemouth, this applies to Christchurch as well.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
D200	Christchurch Town	BCP unitary authority, amalgamating Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth, was supposed to improve transparency, costs and bureaucracy. Creating parish/town councils will be adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit and worse accountability. BCP has just appointed a new CEO; consideration should be given to allowing this person to attempt to improve the current running of the unitary authority before any changes are implemented. No decisions should be made before 2027 and then only following a referendum.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
D201	Christchurch Town	Why is the precept for Christchurch Town Council so much more than the other parish councils?	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9